

Tamworth Borough Council audit plan

Year ending 31 March 2023

Tamworth Borough Council
7 June 2023
Page 35

Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Laurelin Griffiths

Key Audit Partner

T 0121 232 5363

E laurelin.h.griffiths@uk.gt.com

William Guest

Audit Manager

T 0121 232 5319

E william.guest@uk.gt.com

Philip Wood

Assistant Manager

T 0121 232 5256

E philip.wood@uk.gt.com

Aaron Smallwood

Assistant Manager

T 0121 232 5336

E aaron.k.smallwood@uk.gt.com

Section	Page
Key matters	3
Introduction and headlines	5
Significant risks identified	7
Other matters	10
Progress against prior year recommendations	11
Our approach to materiality	12
IT Audit Strategy	14
Value for Money Arrangements	15
Audit logistics and team	16
Audit fees	17
Independence and non-audit services	20
Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance	21

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Key matters



National context

For the general population, rising inflation rates, in particular for critical commodities such as energy, food and fuel, is pushing many households into poverty and financial hardship, including those in employment. At a national government level, recent political changes have seen an emphasis on controls on spending, which in turn is placing pressure on public services to manage within limited budgets.

Local Government funding continues to be stretched with increasing cost pressures due to the cost of living crisis, including higher energy costs, increasing pay demands, higher agency costs and increases in supplies and services. Local authority front-line services play a vital role in protecting residents from rising costs; preventing the most vulnerable from falling into destitution and helping to build households long-term financial resilience. At a local level, councils are also essential in driving strong and inclusive local economies, through their economic development functions and measures like increasing the supply of affordable housing, integrating skills and employment provision, and prioritising vulnerable households to benefit from energy saving initiatives. Access to these services remains a key priority across the country, but there are also pressures on the quality of services. These could include further unplanned reductions to services and the cancellation or delays to major construction projects such as new roads, amenities and infrastructure upgrades to schools, as well as pothole filling.

Our recent value for money work has highlighted a number of governance and financial stability issues at a national level, which is a further indication of the mounting pressure on audited bodies to keep delivering services, whilst also managing transformation and making savings at the same time.

In planning our audit, we will take account of this context in designing a local audit programme which is tailored to your risks and circumstances.

Audit Reporting Delays

In a report published in January 2023 the NAO have highlighted that since 2017-18 there has been a significant decline in the number of local government body accounts including an audit opinion published by the deadlines set by government. The NAO outline a number of reasons for this and proposed actions. In our view, it is critical to early sign off that draft local authority accounts are prepared to a high standard and supported by strong working papers.

Key matters



Our Responses

- As a firm, we are absolutely committed to audit quality and financial reporting in the local government sector. Our proposed work and fee, is set out later in this audit plan.
- We will consider your arrangements for managing and reporting your financial resources as part of our audit in completing our Value for Money work.
- Our value for money work will also consider your arrangements relating to governance and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness.
- We will continue to provide you and your Audit Committee with sector updates providing our insight on issues from a range of sources and other sector commentators via our Audit Committee updates.
- We hold annual financial reporting workshops for our audited bodies to access the latest technical guidance and interpretation, discuss issues with our experts and create networking links with other audited bodies to support consistent and accurate financial reporting across the sector.

Introduction and headlines

Purpose

This document provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory audit of Tamworth Borough Council ('the Council') for those charged with governance.

Respective responsibilities


The National Audit Office ('the NAO') has issued a document entitled Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. Our respective responsibilities are also set out in the Terms of Appointment and Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), the body responsible for appointing us as auditor of Tamworth Borough Council. We draw your attention to both of these documents.

Scope of our audit

The scope of our audit is set in accordance with the Code and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK). We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the Council's financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance (the Audit and Governance committee); and we consider whether there are sufficient arrangements in place at the Council for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources. Value for money relates to ensuring that resources are used efficiently in order to maximise the outcomes that can be achieved.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Audit and Governance Committee of your responsibilities. It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our audit approach is based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based.



Introduction and headlines

Significant risks

Those risks requiring special audit consideration and procedures to address the likelihood of a material financial statement error have been identified as:

- Valuation of council dwellings, other land and buildings and investment property;
- Valuation of the net pension liability; and
- Management override of controls.

We will communicate significant findings on these areas as well as any other significant matters arising from the audit to you in our Audit Findings (ISA 260) Report.

Materiality

We have determined planning materiality to be £1,000k (PY £1,000k) for the Council, which equates to 1.95% of your prior year gross operating costs for the year. We are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. As part of our risk assessment, we have considered the impact of unadjusted prior period errors. Clearly trivial has been set at £50k (PY £50k).

We have also set a materiality of £10k (PY £10k) for the disclosures relating to senior officers remuneration.

Value for Money arrangements

Our Value for Money risk assessment remains in progress. However, based on the assessment completed to date we do not anticipate any significant VFM audit risks that will impact the audit for 2022/23.

We will continue our review of your arrangements, including reviewing your Annual Governance Statement, before we issue our auditor's annual report.

New Auditing Standards

There are two auditing standards which have been significantly updated this year. These are ISA 315 (Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement) and ISA 240 (the auditor's responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements).

We provide more detail on the work required later in this plan.

Audit logistics

Our interim visit has taken place in February and our final visit will begin in July. Our key deliverables are this Audit Plan, our Audit Findings Report and Auditor's Annual Report.

Our proposed fee for the audit will be £64,226 (PY: £71,975) for the Council, subject to the Council delivering a good set of financial statements and working papers.

We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Significant risks identified

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
<p>Risk of fraud in revenue recognition and expenditure (Rebutted)</p>	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p> <p>This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p> <p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition • Opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited • The culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Tamworth Borough Council mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable. <p>Whilst not a presumed significant risk, we have had regard to Practice Note 10, which comments that for certain public bodies, the risk of manipulating expenditure may well be greater than that of income.</p> <p>Having considered the risk of improper recognition of expenditure at Tamworth Borough Council we are satisfied that this is not a significant risk for the same reasons set out above.</p>	<p>Notwithstanding that we have rebutted this risk, we will still undertake a significant level of work on the Council's revenue streams, as they are material. We will:</p> <p><u>Accounting policies and systems</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate the Council's accounting policies for recognition of income and expenditure for its various income streams and compliance with the CIPFA Code • Update our understanding of the Council's business processes associated with accounting for income <p><u>Fees, charges and other service income</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree, on a sample basis, income and year end receivables from other income to invoices and cash payment or other supporting evidence. <p><u>Taxation and non-specific grant income</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Income for national non-domestic rates and council tax is predictable and therefore we will conduct substantive analytical procedures • For other grants we will sample test items back to supporting information and subsequent receipt, considering accounting treatment where appropriate. <p><u>Expenditure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update our understanding of the Council's business processes associated with accounting for expenditure • Agree, on a sample basis, expenditure and year end creditors to invoices and cash payment or other supporting evidence <p>We will also design tests to address the risk that income and expenditure have been misstated by not being recognised in the correct financial year.</p>

'Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, due to either size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty.' (ISA (UK) 315)

Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Management over-ride of controls	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. The Council faces external scrutiny of its spending and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evaluate the design effectiveness of management controls over journals; • analyse the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals; • test high risk unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration; • gain an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence; and • evaluate the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.
Valuation of council dwellings, other land and buildings and investment property	<p>Revaluation of council dwellings, other land and buildings and investment property should be performed with sufficient regularity to ensure that carrying amounts are not materially different from those that would be determined at the end of the reporting period. The Council revalues its land and buildings on a rolling basis to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the current value or fair value (for surplus assets) at the financial statements date.</p> <p>This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>The risk will be pinpointed as part of our final accounts work, once we have understood the population of assets revalued. We will report an updated risk assessment for valuation of land and buildings in our Audit Findings Report.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evaluate management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work; • evaluate the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert; • write to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out to ensure that the requirements of the CIPFA code are met; • challenge the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding; • test, on a sample basis, revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Council's asset register and accounted for correctly; and • evaluating the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value at year end.

Management should expect engagement teams to challenge management in areas that are complex, significant or highly judgmental which may be the case for accounting estimates and similar areas. Management should also expect to provide to engagement teams with sufficient evidence to support their judgments and the approach they have adopted for key accounting policies referenced to accounting standards or changes thereto.

Where estimates are used in the preparation of the financial statements management should expect teams to challenge management's assumptions and request evidence to support those assumptions.

Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Valuation of the pension fund net liability	<p>The pension fund net liability, as reflected in the balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estate due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>The methods applied in the calculation of the IAS 19 estimates are routine and commonly applied by all actuarial firms in line with the requirements set out in the Code of practice for local government accounting (the applicable financial reporting framework). We have therefore concluded that there is not a significant risk of material misstatement in the IAS 19 estimate due to the methods and models used in their calculation.</p> <p>The source data used by the actuaries to produce the IAS 19 estimates is provided by administering authorities and employers. We do not consider this to be a significant risk as this is easily verifiable.</p> <p>The actuarial assumptions used are the responsibility of the entity but should be set on the advice given by the actuary. A small change in the key assumptions (discount rate, inflation rate, salary increase and life expectancy) can have a significant impact on the estimated IAS 19 liability. In particular the inflation rates and life expectancy, where, in the prior year, it was disclosed that Variations in the key assumptions will have the following impact on the net liability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A 0.5% decrease in the real discount rate will increase the net pension liability by £15.0m (9%); - A 0.5% increase in the assumed level of salary increases will increase the net pension liability by £1.2m (1%); and - A 0.5% increase in the assumed level of pension increases will increase the net pension liability by £13.5m (8%). <p>We have therefore concluded that there is a significant risk of material misstatement in the IAS 19 estimate due to the assumptions used in their calculation. With regard to these assumptions we have therefore identified valuation of the Authority's pension fund net liability as a significant risk.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • update our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Council's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluate the design of the associated controls; • evaluate the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work; • assess the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Council's pension fund valuation; • assess the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Council to the actuary to estimate the liability; • test the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary; • undertake procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and • obtain assurances from the auditor of Staffordshire Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data, contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements.

Other matters

Other work

In addition to our responsibilities under the Code of Practice, we have a number of other audit responsibilities, as follows:

- We read your Narrative Report and Annual Governance Statement to check that they are consistent with the financial statements on which we give an opinion and our knowledge of the Council.
- We carry out work to satisfy ourselves that disclosures made in your Annual Governance Statement are in line with requirements set by CIPFA.
- We carry out work on your consolidation schedules for the Whole of Government Accounts process in accordance with NAO group audit instructions.
- We consider our other duties under legislation and the Code, as and when required, including:
 - giving electors the opportunity to raise questions about your 2022/23 financial statements, consider and decide upon any objections received in relation to the 2022/23 financial statements;
 - issuing a report in the public interest or written recommendations to the Council under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the Act).
 - application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under section 28 or a judicial review under section 31 of the Act
 - issuing an advisory notice under section 29 of the Act
- We certify completion of our audit.

Other material balances and transactions

Under International Standards on Auditing, 'irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor shall design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance and disclosure'. All other material balances and transaction streams will therefore be audited. However, the procedures will not be as extensive as the procedures adopted for the risks identified in this report.

Progress against prior year audit recommendations

We identified the following issues in our 2021/22 audit of the Council's financial statements, which resulted in 3 recommendations being reported in our 2021/22 Audit Findings Report. We have followed up on the implementation of our recommendations and 3 are still to be addressed.

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
X	<p>We have identified a deficiency regarding the review of security logs. The Council do not review security logs on all of their systems due to the number and size of the logs.</p> <p>The Council received updates regarding potential threats from their various cyber security information sources such as NCSC and West Midlands Police and where relevant would review specific logs in response, but they do not review generally on a periodic basis.</p> <p>The Council should review security logs on a periodic basis.</p>	<p>Due to the number of threats, it is not currently practical or possible to resource a manual review of all security logs unless there's a specific reason i.e., in response to a known threat or alert from our cyber security information sources. In mitigation we have various services which alert us to known cyber threats, we also proactively scan our network for vulnerabilities and patch our systems regularly.</p> <p>However, this issue has been recognised and an assessment carried out on the options for a cost-effective Security Incident and Event Management system (SIEM) which automates reviewing of security logs for key infrastructure, but our conclusion was that the costs were not sustainable.</p> <p>We also looked again at using a 3rd party SIEM solution and managing it within the ICT team, but we don't have the resource to do this effectively. We do however recognise that security event log management and threat detection is important so we're now looking at another NCSC recommended open-source tool for managing and archiving security event logs and looking at more cost-effective options focused on improving threat prevention.</p> <p>We continue to perform regular vulnerability scans of our internal and external network with follow-up mitigation work and monitor the latest threat information from our cyber security information sources.</p>

Progress against prior year audit recommendations (continued)

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
TBC – as part of this year's audit procedures.	<p>We have identified that the Council have several assets within their asset register which are held at a nil net book value. The gross book value of these assets is £3.6m and whilst there is no impact on the balance sheet, this does inflate the gross book value and accumulated depreciation in note 14.</p> <p>From the work that we have performed we have assurance that these assets are not materially misstating the financial statements. However, a significant balance of assets being held at nil net book value may indicate that these assets were depreciated prematurely.</p> <p>The Council should consider whether their depreciation policy remains appropriate.</p>	<p>The assets within the asset register with a nil net book value were reviewed during 2022/23 and disposal confirmed for the ICT NBV nil assets purchased prior to 2018/19, amounting to £2.35m from the £3.6m identified during the audit.</p> <p>The depreciation policy has been confirmed meaning that ICT assets will continue to be written down over 3 years as the assets will have no material value at that point.</p>
X	<p>Tamworth Borough Council are part of a joint waste service with Lichfield District Council. The Council's share of the assets and liabilities is 41.7% however management have previously assessed that these do not need to be included within the Council's financial position.</p> <p>Our view is that, in line with the Council's accounting policy for 'Joint Operations', the Council should be recognising its share of these assets and liabilities, as it does with its share of the income and expenditure.</p> <p>The total net book value of the assets in question is £nil and the total lease liability is immaterial, so we are satisfied that this does not lead to a material misstatement in the Council's balance sheet.</p>	<p>It has been agreed that as the impact on the balance sheet is not material that the Council would not adjust for this error.</p> <p>When the arrangement is renewed it is expected to be material to the financial statements, and the Council's assessment of the appropriate accounting treatment will need to be revisited at this point.</p>

Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

Determination

We have determined financial statement materiality based on a proportion of the gross expenditure of the Council for the financial year. Materiality at the planning stage of our audit is £1m, which equates to 1.95% of your gross expenditure for the prior period.

We determine planning materiality in order to:

- establish what level of misstatement could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements
- assist in establishing the scope of our audit engagement and audit tests
- determine sample sizes and
- assist in evaluating the effect of known and likely misstatements in the financial statements

Other factors

An item does not necessarily have to be large to be considered to have a material effect on the financial statements.

We have identified senior officer remuneration as a balance where we will apply a lower materiality level, as these are considered sensitive disclosures. We have set a materiality of £0.01m.

Reassessment of materiality

Our assessment of materiality is kept under review throughout the audit process.

We reconsider planning materiality if, during the course of our audit engagement, we become aware of facts and circumstances that would have caused us to make a different determination of planning materiality.

Other communications relating to materiality we will report to the Audit and Governance Committee

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Audit and Governance Committee any unadjusted misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. Under ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with those charged with governance', we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 (UK) defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.

We report to the Audit and Governance Committee any unadjusted misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work.

In the context of the Council, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £0.05m (PY £0.05m). If management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Audit and Governance Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.

Our approach to materiality

Page 48

	Amount (£)
Materiality for the financial statements	1,000,000
Performance Materiality	700,000
Trivial Matters	50,000
Materiality for specific transactions, balances or disclosures:	
- senior officer remuneration	10,000

IT audit strategy

In accordance with ISA (UK) 315 Revised, we are required to obtain an understanding of the relevant IT and technical infrastructure and details of the processes that operate within the IT environment. We are also required to consider the information captured to identify any audit relevant risks and design appropriate audit procedures in response. As part of this we obtain an understanding of the controls operating over relevant Information Technology (IT) systems i.e., IT general controls (ITGCs). Our audit will include completing an assessment of the design and implementation of relevant ITGCs. We say more about ISA 315 Revised on page 17.

The following IT systems have been judged to be in scope for our audit and based on the planned financial statement audit approach we will perform the indicated level of assessment:

IT system	Audit area	Planned level IT audit assessment
E-financials	Financial reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)
Trent	Payroll	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)
Academy	Housing Benefits, Council Tax and Business Rates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)

Value for Money arrangements

Approach to Value for Money work for the period ended 31 March 2023

The National Audit Office issued its latest Value for Money guidance to auditors in January 2023. The Code expects auditors to consider whether a body has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Auditors are expected to report any significant weaknesses in the body's arrangements, should they come to their attention. In undertaking their work, auditors are expected to have regard to three specified reporting criteria. These are as set out below:

Page 50



Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

How the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.



Financial Sustainability

How the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services.




Governance

How the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks.

We have not identified any risks of significant weaknesses from our initial planning work. We will continue our review of your arrangements, including reviewing your Annual Governance Statement, before we issue our auditor's annual report.

Audit logistics and team



Page 51



Laurelin Griffiths, Key Audit Partner

Laurelin's role will be to lead our relationship with you and be a key contact for the s151 Officer and the Audit and Governance Committee. Laurelin will take overall responsibility for the delivery of a high quality audit, meeting the highest professional standards and adding value to the Authority.



William Guest, Audit Manager

William's role will be to manage the delivery of a high quality audit, meeting the highest professional standards and adding value to the Authority. He will oversee the team undertaking the audit, and will be the main point of contact for members of the finance team during the audit.

Audited Entity responsibilities

Where audited bodies do not deliver to the timetable agreed, we need to ensure that this does not impact on audit quality or absorb a disproportionate amount of time, thereby disadvantaging other audited bodies. Where the elapsed time to complete an audit exceeds that agreed due to an entity not meeting its obligations we will not be able to maintain a team on site. Similarly, where additional resources are needed to complete the audit due to an entity not meeting their obligations we are not able to guarantee the delivery of the audit to the agreed timescales. In addition, delayed audits will incur additional audit fees.

Our requirements

To minimise the risk of a delayed audit, you need to :

- ensure that you produce draft financial statements of good quality by the deadline you have agreed with us, including all notes, the Annual Report and the Annual Governance Statement
- ensure that good quality working papers are available at the start of the audit, in accordance with the working paper requirements schedule that we have shared with you
- ensure that the agreed data reports are available to us at the start of the audit and are reconciled to the values in the accounts, in order to facilitate our selection of samples for testing
- ensure that all appropriate staff are available on site throughout (or as otherwise agreed) the planned period of the audit
- respond promptly and adequately to audit queries.

Audit fees and updated Auditing Standards including ISA 315 Revised

In 2017, PSAA awarded a contract of audit for Tamworth Borough Council to begin with effect from 2018/19. The fee agreed in the contract was £x. Since that time, there have been a number of developments, particularly in relation to the revised Code and ISA's which are relevant for the 2022/23 audit. For details of the changes which impacted on years up to 2021/22 please see our prior year Audit Plans.

The major change impacting on our audit for 2022/23 is the introduction of ISA (UK) 315 (Revised) - Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement ('ISA 315'). There are a number of significant changes that will impact the nature and extent of our risk assessment procedures and the work we perform to respond to these identified risks. Key changes include:

Page 52

Enhanced requirements around understanding the Council's IT Infrastructure, IT environment. From this we will then identify any risks arising from the use of IT. We are then required to identify the IT General Controls ('ITGCs') that address those risks and test the design and implementation of ITGCs that address the risks arising from the use of IT.

Additional documentation of our understanding of the Council's business model, which may result in us needing to perform additional inquiries to understand the Council's end-to-end processes over more classes of transactions, balances and disclosures.

- We are required to identify controls within a business process and identify which of those controls are controls relevant to the audit. These include, but are not limited to, controls over significant risks and journal entries. We will need to identify the risks arising from the use of IT and the general IT controls (ITGCs) as part of obtaining an understanding of relevant controls.
- Where we do not test the operating effectiveness of controls, the assessment of risk will be the inherent risk, this means that our sample sizes may be larger than in previous years.

These are significant changes which will require us to increase the scope, nature and extent of our audit documentation, particularly in respect of your business processes, and your IT controls. We will be unable to determine the full fee impact until we have undertaken further work in respect of the above areas. However, for an authority of your size, we estimate an initial increase of £3,000. We will let you know if our work in respect of business processes and IT controls identifies any issues requiring further audit testing. There is likely to be an ongoing requirement for a fee increase in future years, although we are unable yet to quantify that.

The other major change to Auditing Standards in 2022/23 is in respect of ISA 240 which deals with the auditor's responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements. This Standard gives more prominence to the risk of fraud in the audit planning process. We will let you know during the course of the audit should we be required to undertake any additional work in this area which will impact on your fee.

Taking into account the above, our proposed work and fee for 2022/23, as set out below, is detailed overleaf.

Audit fees

	Actual Fee 2020/21	Actual Fee 2021/22	Proposed fee 2022/23
Tamworth Borough Council Audit	£61,375	£71,975	£64,226
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£61,375	£71,975	£64,226

Assumptions

In setting the above fees, we have assumed that the Council will:

- prepare a good quality set of accounts, supported by comprehensive and well-presented working papers which are ready at the start of the audit
- provide appropriate analysis, support and evidence to support all critical judgements and significant judgements made during the course of preparing the financial statements
- provide early notice of proposed complex or unusual transactions which could have a material impact on the financial statements.

Relevant professional standards

In preparing our fee estimate, we have had regard to all relevant professional standards, including paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of the FRC's [Ethical Standard \(revised 2019\)](#) which stipulate that the Engagement Lead (Key Audit Partner) must set a fee sufficient to enable the resourcing of the audit with partners and staff with appropriate time and skill to deliver an audit to the required professional and Ethical standards.

Audit fees – detailed analysis

Scale fee 2022/23	£45,876
<i>New issues since the scale fee was set</i>	
Additional work on Value for Money (VfM) under new NAO Code	£9,000
Increased audit requirements of revised ISAs 540 / 240 / 700	£2,100
Enhanced audit procedures on journals testing (not included in the Scale Fee)	£3,000
Additional testing in relation payroll – change in circumstances	£500
Additional testing in relation to collection fund reliefs	£750
Increased audit requirements of revised ISA 315	£3,000
Total audit fees 2022/23 (excluding VAT)	£64,226

All variations to the scale fee will need to be approved by PSAA

Independence and non-audit services

Auditor independence

Ethical Standards and ISA (UK) 260 require us to give you timely disclosure of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm or covered persons. relating to our independence. We encourage you to contact us to discuss these or any other independence issues with us. We will also discuss with you if we make additional significant judgements surrounding independence matters.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (Revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements. Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Ethical Standard. For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council.

Other services

The following other services provided by Grant Thornton were identified

The amounts detailed are fees agreed to-date for audit related and non-audit related services to be undertaken by Grant Thornton UK LLP in the current financial year. These services are consistent with the Council's policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditors. Any changes and full details of all fees charged for audit related and non-audit related services by Grant Thornton UK LLP and by Grant Thornton International Limited network member Firms will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Service	Fees £	Threats	Safeguards
Audit related			
Housing Benefit subsidy certification 2021/22 (May 2022 – January 2023)	16,000	For these audit-related services, we consider that the following perceived threats may apply:	The level of recurring fees taken on their own is not significant in comparison to the confirmed scale fee for the audit of £64,225 and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, each is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to any of them. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.
Certification of Pooling of Housing Capital Receipts 2021/22 (January 2023)	6,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Self Interest (because these are recurring fees) 	Our team has no involvement in the preparation of the form which is certified, and do not expect material misstatements in the financial statement to arise from the performance of the certification work. Although related income and expenditure is included within the financial statements, the work required in respect of certification is separate from the work required to the audit of the financial statements, and is performed after the audit of the financial statements has been completed.
Housing Benefit subsidy certification 2022/23 (May 2023 – January 2024)	18,800	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Self Review Management 	The scope of work does not include making decisions on behalf of management or recommending or suggesting a particular course of action for management to follow. Our team perform these engagements in line with set instructions and reporting frameworks. Any amendments made as a result of our work are the responsibility of informed management.

There were no non-audit related services

Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings	
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	•		ISA (UK) 260, as well as other ISAs (UK), prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table here.
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, form, timing and expected general content of communications including significant risks and Key Audit Matters	•		
Confirmation of independence and objectivity of the firm, the engagement team members and all other indirectly covered persons	•	•	This document, the Audit Plan, outlines our audit strategy and plan to deliver the audit, while the Audit Findings will be issued prior to approval of the financial statements and will present key issues, findings and other matters arising from the audit, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	•	•	
Significant matters in relation to going concern	•	•	We will communicate any adverse or unexpected findings affecting the audit on a timely basis, either informally or via an audit progress memorandum.
Significant findings from the audit		•	
Significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		•	<p>Respective responsibilities</p> <p>As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit in accordance with ISAs (UK), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance.</p>
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit		•	
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit		•	The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities.
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		•	
Identification or suspicion of fraud[deliberate manipulation] involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements [not typically council tax fraud]		•	
Non-compliance with laws and regulations		•	
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions		•	
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		•	

This page is intentionally left blank